

Green Line Extension Project
Request for Qualifications Questions and Responses
(Questions received through January 12, 2017)

	RFQ Section	Question	Response
1.	General	Please clarify the MBTA's intent for the role and responsibilities of the DB Entity with regard to Quality Control/Quality Assurance	The Design Build Entity ("DB Entity") will have the full responsibility for controlling quality of the work performed during the design and construction of the Green Line Extension ("GLX") Project (the "Project"). A Project-specific plan documenting proposed Quality Assurance and Quality Control procedures will be developed, implemented, and maintained by the DB Entity. The plan will be subject to review by the GLX management team and MBTA for conformance with the United States Department of Transportation Quality Management System Guideline (FTA-PA-27-5194-12.1).
2.	Section 4.2	Please clarify if the Proposer can provide an electronic copy of the SOQ on USB drives instead of CDs.	Yes, the use of USB drives in lieu of CDs is acceptable. Please see Addendum Number 1.
3.	Section 4.5.4.2(C)(2) (iii)	If a Major Participant of the Proposer is a publicly-traded company, can the company provide a link to our online audited financial statements in lieu of hard copies?	Hard copies must be provided.
4.	Section 4.5.4.3(B)(3) (c)	"Provide a certification regarding organizational conflicts of interest as they may pertain to this Project consistent with the GLX Organizational Conflict of Interest Guidance." Please confirm that the Proposer can provide a brief narrative that points the reviewer to Form K.	The requirement to provide organization conflict of interest information under Section 4.5.4.3(B)(3)(c) will be deleted. Please note that organizational conflict of information is still required as requested at Section 4.5.4.1(B)(8). Please see Addendum Number 1.
5.	Section 4.5.4.3(D)	With respect to RFQ 4.5.4.3.D (below) please clarify how prequalification will be evaluated for a Design Build Entity that is a Joint Venture. D. Requirements and information to be submitted in	The requirement under Section 4.5.4.3(D) is to provide information on currently effective MBTA prequalification certificates for the Proposer, Major Participants, and Key Subcontractors. Although prequalification is not a requirement for this procurement, the MBTA will review and evaluate

Green Line Extension Project
Request for Qualifications Questions and Responses
(Questions received through January 12, 2017)

	RFQ Section	Question	Response
		<p>Volume 1, Appendix B, of the SOQ (see Attachment A to this RFQ for SOQ section organization)</p> <p>The Proposer, Major Participants, and Key Subcontractors shall include any prior Prequalification Certificates issued by the MBTA to the entity, indicating the classifications and single project limit amounts approved by the MBTA. This RFQ supersedes the requirements relative to Prequalification stated in the Request for Letters of Interest.</p>	<p>prequalification information submitted as part of its overall consideration of the experience of the Proposer, Major Participants, and Key Subcontractors.</p> <p>If a Proposer is a Joint Venture, the currently effective MBTA prequalification certificates should be submitted by the Proposer (defined in the RFQ Section 1.1 as “the entity that seeks to act as the DB Entity for the Project”), any Major Participants (defined in RFQ Section 1.1 as “a private entity that is a member of the DB Entity”), and any Key Subcontractors (defined in RFQ Section 1.1 as “a proposed subcontractor to the DB Entity that either (1) is performing any of the following types of work, including but not limited to: General Transit Construction; Trackwork; Electrical; or Transit Signal and Communications and will have an estimated subcontract value greater than or equal to \$50 million (excluding contracts with suppliers), or (2) is the Lead Designer.”)</p> <p>Please see Addendum Number 1.</p>
6.	Section 4.5.4.4(B)	<p>Page 27 of the RFQ, Section 4.5.4.4.B, indicates that proposed key personnel are to be listed on “Form J-Attachment B”. As the 40 page, main body of our SOQ will include an org chart identifying the key personnel, along with bios and experience of each of the key personnel, please clarify if Form J-Attachment B is to be included as part of the 40 page limit in the main body of the SOQ, or conversely, if it is to be included in the appendix to Volume 1, and excluded from the 40 page limit.</p>	<p>Form J must be included at Volume 1, Section 2.</p>

Green Line Extension Project
Request for Qualifications Questions and Responses
(Questions received through January 12, 2017)

	RFQ Section	Question	Response
7.	General	In some of the forms which make reference to “any state or federal law” (Form C), “required under law” (Form E), or “DBE” or “Title VI” (Form H and L), can questions with explicit references to municipal or local laws, state laws, and federal laws be assumed to refer to legislation territorially conscribed to the United States of America and its territories?	Yes.
8.	Section 3.3.2	In conjunction with Section 3.3.2 Organizational Conflicts of Interest of the RFQ, where the MBTA indicates that it provided a list of entities which have been precluded from participation in the current submission for the Project, as well as providing a weblink for consultation by Proposers, have there been any additional names added to the list currently—as of January 12, 2017—on that web page, in a document titled "PRECLUDED ENTITIES AS OF DECEMBER 15, 2016"?	The most recent version of the precluded entities list appears at the Web link provided (http://www.mbta.com/business_center/bidding_solicitations/current_solicitations/?id=6442456839).
9.	Section 4.5.4.1(B)(8)	If the Proposer is a joint venture, yet to be formed, and all Major Participants of the joint venture have submitted MBTA GLX Disclosure Form Organizational Conflicts of Interest forms and received Clear Determination from the MBTA, does Form K and the MBTA GLX Disclosure Form Organizational Conflicts of Interest forms need to be submitted by the Proposer?	Yes.
10.	Section 4.5.4.4(B)	Please confirm that Form J is NOT included in the 40-page limit, but must be submitted in Volume 1, Section 2.	Form J must be included at Volume 1, Section 2. Form J is included in the 40-page limit. Please review responses to Proposer questions issued on January 11, 2017.

Green Line Extension Project
Request for Qualifications Questions and Responses
(Questions received through January 12, 2017)

	RFQ Section	Question	Response
11.	Section 4.5.4.5(B)	Please confirm that Form G is NOT included in the 40-page limit, but must be submitted in Volume 1, Section 3. For teams with numerous Major Participants and Key Subcontractors, including this form in the page limit would significantly impact the Qualification Statement.	See Addendum Number 2. Form G is moved to Volume 3, and will not be included in the 40-page limit.
12.	Section 4.2 and 4.5.4.5(C)	Section 4.5.4.5.C. states that the proposer shall submit two copies of its Corporate Safety and Accident Prevention Plan. Please confirm if this number is referring the numbers of copies required in Section 4.2 or if each copy (1 original and 1 copy) must contain two copies of its Corporate Safety and Accident Prevention Plan.	Each Proposer must submit two copies (one original and one copy) of Volume 3. Each Volume 3 must include one copy of the Proposer's Corporate Safety and Accident Prevention Program.
13.	Attachment B - Forms	Due to the amount of forms required and the need for original signatures, can the forms in Attachment B that have not been changed due to an Addendum be submitted as issued in the RFQ dated December 15, 2016 as long as Form A, Transmittal Letter acknowledges all the Addenda.	Yes.